

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/695,415	10/29/2003		Hidenori Kawanishi	204552030500	5623	
25227	7590	11/13/2006		EXAMINER		
MORRISO	N & FOE	RSTER LLP	VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS			
1650 TYSO	NS BOUL	EVARD	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 300				ARTORIT	THE EXTROPOSE	
MCLEAN, VA 22102				2828		

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/695,415	KAWANISHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tod T. Van Roy	2828		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tod T. Van Roy	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		·	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex-	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).  on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F I36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee liate extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	r than three months after the mailing da	te of the final rejection,	even if timely filed
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	· ·	i E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>		timely filed amendme	ant canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	nowabie ii submitteu iii a separate,	timely med amending	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an			
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		12
		MINSUN OH HAF PRIMARY EXAM	RVEY

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

**Application No. 10/695,415** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of further limitations such as guide layer materials and layer locations would necessitate a new search and further consideration.